



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH ...

Wilcox Collection

Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

"The Truth, the whole Truth and nothing but the Truth!"

Without fear or favor,

Carroll A. Wilcox
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

Plain envelope, unsealed \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

Issue No. 371 (16th Year) Sept. 15, 1961

Second Class postage paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

Congo Moving Toward Soviet Camp

Assail Use of U.S. Funds to Finance U.N. Operation

Republished from—

BROOKLYN TABLET, Sept. 23, 1961

By Frank DeRosa

The coalition government set up in the Congo under United Nations auspices appears to be paving the way for the strife-torn country's entry into the Communist orbit.

The inevitability of this shift has been revealed by leading anti-Communist spokesmen who see the newly-established Parliament as a smashing triumph for Moscow. In fact, an official Soviet periodical claims a complete victory for the pro-Soviet side in the Congo.

Leading the attack is Senator Thomas J. Dodd (D., Conn.), who has demanded a quick reversal of U. N. policy. In a Senate speech he warned that support of the U. N.'s action by the United States is financing the destruction of its friends and the victory of its enemies.

Sen. Dodd pointed out that the position of vice-premier in the Congo government, which was established in August, has gone to Antoine Gizenga, a leader of the pro-Soviet faction. A Lumumba follower, Gizenga has been described as a "Moscow-trained Red." He also noted that the post of Minister of the Interior has gone to Prague-trained Communist Christophe Gbenye.

Quoting from the Sept. 8 issue of the periodical Moscow "New Times," Sen. Dodd disclosed that Prime Minister Cyrille Adoula, who is reputed to be a neutralist, has agreed to accept Gizenga men as commander of the armed forces, as secretary of defense and as ambassador to the United Nations.

Sen. Dodd said that the article in the "New Times" made the following points:

—That "the members of political parties of the national bloc which was headed by Patrice Lumumba have 23 seats in this government, or an absolute majority."

—That "the decision of the Parliament permits the new government to carry out all decisions made earlier by the Lumumba government."

—That Adoula has accepted Gizenga's request to appoint General Victor Lundula as Chief of the United Congolese army, and to fill the position of Minister of Defense and Representative to the United Nations with Gizenga followers.

—That Adoula has further agreed to take certain unspecified measures against Colonel Mobutu, and that Gizenga is now demanding the removal from the army of "all soldiers and officers who are against the unity of the country."

—That Gizenga, in a telegram to Adoula, had called for an end to "the separatist activity in Katanga, to preserve the territorial unity of the republic within the framework of the policy of Patrice Lumumba, our adherence to which we have declared."

The "New Times" article boasted, said Sen. Dodd, that "the decision of the Parliament commits the new government to carry out all decisions made earlier by the Lumumba government, and factually cancels as illegal the decision of the so-called 'Ileo

government.'" Joseph Ileo headed the previous Congo government.

The turn of events in favor of Russia and against the United States is the basis of Sen. Dodd's appeal for prompt action on a resolution which he has introduced calling for a select committee to investigate the situation in the Congo.

Critical of Intervention

Meanwhile, United Nations policy also was taken to task by Robert Morris, a former chief counsel for the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee and now president of the University of Dallas.

Writing in a nationally distributed column, Mr. Morris was critical of the intervention of U. N. troops in the war in the Congo. He wrote:

"The U. N. justifies its action against Tshombe and his 2,000,000 Katanganese on the flimsy ground that it is 'uniting the Congo.' Nowhere in the charter of the United Nations is authority given for such a bloody undertaking."

Noting "another egregious aspect" of the Congo situation, Mr. Morris said: "The United States is financing virtually the whole operation, that is the Gizenga-U. N. invasion of Katanga, not, of course, the Katanga defense of their homeland."

The United States is paying more than half of the \$100,000,000 that the United Nations has already budgeted or spent in the Congo. Russia, which is benefiting from the Congo turmoil, continues its moratorium on paying its share of the U. N. costs.

Sen. Dodd warns: "There is no reason why the Congo should go Communist. There is every reason why it should remain free. But the dismal fact nevertheless is that the Congo is rapidly slipping under complete Communist control. If the Congo does go Communist, it will not be because of Soviet strength or because the Congolese people want Communism; it will be because of United Nations policy in the Congo and because of the perverse folly that induces us to support this policy with our prestige and our money."

What Would YOU Do, If America Were Ever Occupied By A Foreign Power?

Republished from **TASK FORCE**

Introductory Note, By Eugene C. Pomeroy: The writer of the following article is an American Intelligence Officer who served with both the French and Italian Underground Forces during World War II. As a result, he is thoroughly familiar with conditions in a once-free country when occupied and ruled by an alien power. It is his measured judgment that one of the greatest deterrents to a military or political take-over of one country by another is the fore-hand knowledge of a bitter and determined resistance by the civilian population. (For obvious reasons his identity must remain "anonymous.")

Thus, it is said that the chief reason for Hitler's abandonment of his plan to invade Britain was the announced intention of the English people to fight to the last man to resist any invasion by occupying forces. Notice of this was given by Churchill when he proclaimed that "We will fight on the beaches, we will fight in the streets—we will NEVER surrender."

There has, of late, been some question, particularly abroad, as to the "Will-to-fight" of present day America. They—our enemies, and even some of our friends—say that we, as a people, have, become so flabby, indifferent and indecisive, that in the event of war we would be a "pushover" for any well organized, efficient military or political dictatorship. It is most important that any such impression be most strongly contradicted NOW—in unmistakable terms. The knowledge of the implacable and undying hatred and opposition of the American people to ANY form of alien control should be just as much a deterrent to war as the knowledge of our stock of atomic weapons and our intent to use them if necessary.

We of **TASK FORCE** believe that the writer is rendering an important service to our Country in posing a hard, unpalatable question to the American people. With him, we "do not believe that the United States will ever be defeated or occupied" but that is exactly what happened in other countries largely because the people of those countries had always believed that "it can't happen here."

If through publication of this article and its wide dissemination through other patriotic organizations we can demonstrate the determination of the American people to stand firm in defense of our Nation against ANY attack—military or political—we shall have made an important contribution to our Arsenal of Defense.

Read this article carefully — discuss it with as many of your friends and neighbors as possible, then fill out the coupon at the end of the article and return it to **TASK FORCE**. Not necessary to sign your name. When replies (including those received from other publications) have been tabulated, we believe the result will be a ringing, decisive and inspiring answer to those who may harbor the mistaken impression that America has gone "soft."

.....
In 1940 the German armies overran France. The French government surrendered and submitted to an Army of Occupation. If you had been a Frenchman, would you have held yourself morally bound by the order of the Army of Occupation? Would

—o— Please turn to page 3 —o—

**PLEASE HELP US
SPREAD THE TRUTH**

RH
WL
G135
#371
1961

Why Don't All Anti Communist Movements Name The Enemy?

Why don't all groups opposing communism come out un-afraid and call a spade by its name? There are a number of reasons. **Common Sense** while exposing communism for the first two years did not mention Jews although we realized they were the important element. We hoped we would not have to deal with them. From our first year to date, 16 years, Jewish publications and organizations have attacked us continually. All sincere, effective groups have had the same experience. We mention just a few.

Upton Close, popular writer and lecturer, was awarded a plaque for being the Jews' best friend. Later, when he exposed communism, the Jews declared war on him and drove him off radio and smeared him generally, finally driving him to drink.

Senator **Joe McCarthy** never once mentioned the Jews and surrounded himself with them, but was attacked by all Jewish publications for exposing communism.

The Congressional Un-American Activities Committee devotes its efforts entirely to exposing communism, but the Jewish publications and organizations over and over demand the abolition of this committee of Congress.

The **John Birch Society**, which has never uttered one word against Jews, has experienced nation-wide attack by Jewish publications and Jewish-influenced publications. The John Birch Society, not understanding the world Jewish conspiracy, has in their April 1961 Bulletin, taken great pains to prove their kind feeling for Jews, even saying that the **Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith** (Jewish FBI) helped them organize meetings.

Since April, more than a hundred Jewish organizations and publications have blasted the John Birch Society. The **Rabbinical Council**, in their National Convention at Atlantic City, passed a resolution urging the Attorney General to investigate the John Birch Society.

On June 6th at Kiamesha Lake, N.Y., the A.D.L. of B'nai B'rith in Annual Convention condemned the John Birch Society, saying it was dangerous to Democracy. (Democracy is the Jews' coverup word for communism.)

The writer has been actively engaged in studying and exposing communism for 28 years, and has seen ALL—ALL anti-communist movements and individuals attacked by Jews—a total of at least 200. Since **Common Sense** began publication 16 years ago, some 40 anti-communist organizations and publications have been destroyed. by organized political Jews. Seventy-five million dollars would be a conservative guess of the amount they have spent in the last 30 years on what they call anti-semitism and bigotry. This does not include millions in the deceitful smear of the Germans to condition the U. S. to crush Germany to make Europe safe for communism.

God only knows how much they have spent trying to close **Common Sense**—it would take ten issues of this paper to explain it. Five years in Court—four bombs—plate glass windows smashed twice—twice in jail and every under-handed trick imaginable, and many thousands of smears they have made and influenced uninformed non-Jews to launch.

So many people have been wanting to know who engineered the U. S. into the trap we face. As a result **Common Sense** growth has been increasing rapidly, reaching far more than any anti-communist publication in existence.

From the above it can be understood why most anti-communist movements ignore the Jews. They fear retaliation.

There is another reason. Well-meaning good Americans who have been aroused,



have started anti-communist organizations the last 3 or 4 years. They have read a number of books on communism, so as to inform themselves. The books they have read would not have been published if they dealt with the Jewish question. The author knows that even if one is aware of the Jewish Marxist plot, he dares not mention it in his manuscript or his publisher will not touch it; the publisher knows the Jews will stop at nothing to bankrupt him. This is common knowledge with all publishers. Only a fearless, daring publisher will print the book that tells the truth about communism.

But there are many revealing books with the full story which cannot be refuted.

There are hundreds of such books in our library, but a few will convince all honest truth-seeking persons. Millions have known these truths all their lives.

Try to tell Christians from Hungary, Slovakia, Poland, Latvia, Estonia, Russia, that Jews have not been the spearhead behind communism in their country. People from all these countries visit us every month reporting the facts to us.

The Congressional Record of July 18, 1958 quotes the then U. S. Senator **Ralph Flanders**, as stating on the Senate floor:

"NO SENATOR DARES TO EXPRESS AN HONEST OPINION WHEN JEWISH ISSUES ARE INVOLVED."

AGAIN WE CHALLENGE

To those who would seriously test our position on the Jewish-communist question, we suggest they arrange for four leading Jews, Rabbis, Judges, or officers of the Anti Defamation League of B'nai B'rith to meet Mr. Benjamin H. Freedman and our Editor on television. We will take the affirmative position on the four following topics:

1. That the International Jews financed the Communist Revolution in Russia in 1917, set up communism and spread it over the world.
2. That the Jews pushed the U. S. into World War 1.
3. That the Jews pushed the U. S. into World War 2.
4. That 98% of the spies caught in the U. S. were Jews.

Let's get it clear once and for all, who has been the motivating power behind communism.

**EQUAL MEN ARE NOT FREE
FREE MEN ARE NOT EQUAL**

CommUNism For Katanga

Today the United Nations, founded in 1945 to preserve "world peace" and the "rights of man," after forcibly invading the newly independent nation of Katanga has declared martial law and decreed that any of its citizens found bearing arms will be executed.

Frederick H. Boland, President of the United Nations General Assembly, recently stated that

"... the U.N.'s chief interest remains the common aspiration, needs, and rights of all the world's peoples."

Apparently this does not include the people of Katanga because it is hardly likely that it is any man's aspiration to be shot without trial because he wishes to defend his country. It is possible, though, that the UN feels that it is interested in these people's needs and is only shooting them for their own good. It so, they will be in very distinguished company because probably more people have been killed for this reason down throughout history than for any other.

In short, it looks like the only right the freedom fighters of Katanga will get is that of dying for their country.

Katanga lies within the borders of the old Congo Free State, carved out of a trackless wilderness of jungle and veldt by Belgian colonists in 1885 and inhabited by what was probably the most savage and primitive collection of tribes in Africa, a notoriously backward continent. There was no unity among these constantly warring tribes, and few knew anything of the world past the nearest large river or mountain range.

Nevertheless, Belgium governed this vast 905,380 square mile inland empire for 75 years, changing its name to the Belgian Congo in 1908. Belgium, meanwhile, had the misfortune to be devastated in two world wars, and being a small country found the continued administration of the Congo more of a burden than an asset.

American magazines joked about the "quaint and childlike" idea current among the native tribesmen that independence meant taking over the whitemen's wives, houses, and property, but it was no joke to the whites remaining in the Congo when the Belgium government pulled out in July of 1960.

Despite 75 years of contact with European culture, it took a few days for the Congo to revert to the savagery of the days before 1885. The blacks made their dream of independence a reality as whitemen were killed, beaten, and robbed and every white woman and female child who could be found was brutally and repeatedly raped. All this was encouraged and even aided by the Congo's more-or-less self-appointed new premier, a demagogic, pro-Communist felon named **Patrice Lumumba**. The fiction that the Congo was or ever had been one nation capable of governing itself was soon dispelled as the countless illiterate tribes that made up its population fell diligently to work slaughtering, and often eating their immediate neighbors.

Out of this chaos, in the rich mining land of Katanga, arose one Negro leader who stood head and shoulders above all the petty kings and communists who surrounded him. This man, **Moise Tshombe**, devoutly nationalistic and anti-communist possessed all the qualities of dedication, courage, and intelligence so necessary for great leadership and apparently so rare in Africa. He amputated Katanga from the decaying corpse of the old Congo.

Meanwhile, the UN, feeling very self-righteous in its role of international busybody, decided to test its power in the Congo. Evangelists for world government have al-

CHRISTIAN—ANTI-COMMUNIST



Moise Tshombe

ways controlled its machinery even though the Charter specifically exempts the internal affairs of nations from its jurisdiction.

With the new U. S. State Department under Rusk, Stevenson, and Eleanor Roosevelt, being of like mind, they now had a free hand. They moved in, cautiously at first, and consolidated their power. Intoxicated by their overwhelming strength in the Congo's power vacuum, they struck, not at communist Antoine Gizenga's iron curtain-style dictatorship, but at the last remaining island of civilization, Moise Tshombe's Katanga.

As of now the heroes of Katanga are fighting for their lives and that of their country. How the battle will end is impossible to say, but the fact that it is being fought by an organization supposedly dedicated to peace to tie a free people to a decadent and communist dominated central government should be no surprise to anybody. If and when they could, the UN would undoubtedly tie every nation in the world including the U. S. to the same kind of central government.

What else could be expected of an organization where the Soviet Union, not even including its six satellite slave states, has three votes to the United States' one. White Russia and the Ukraine have the status of Russian provinces, and their representation in the UN is equivalent to the U. S. sending UN delegation not only from Washington D. C., but California and Texas too. All this was created with the full knowledge and acceptance of the U. S. State Department of 1945. The State Department of 1961 has already begun to acquiesce to adding Red China to this roster.

Americans will do well to watch the news from Africa closely in the weeks to come. What happens to the people of Katanga today can well happen here tomorrow.

DISPLAY YOUR FLAG
Remember

UNITED STATES DAY
October 23



For descriptive literature write to—
"United States Day" Committee, Inc.
P.O.Box 353, Tulsa, Oklahoma

Cardinal McIntyre Hits UN
Educational Proposal

Republished from *The Wanderer*,
St. Paul, Minn.

A UN resolution coming up for approval by the U. S. Senate would take away freedom in education, says the Archbishop of Los Angeles.

James Francis Cardinal McIntyre told the St. Vincent de Paul Society last Sunday the resolution would compel this Country to socialize education under international control.

He referred to a document adopted Dec. 4th, 1960, by the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO).

If approved by the Senate, the Cardinal said, the resolution "will substantially eliminate all local control of public education at State or local level;" place all American education under Federal control; "over ride this Federal control and make it subsidiary to UNESCO as a world court and final international arbiter of education," and rigidly restrict private non-tax supported schools and colleges.

What Would YOU do?

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

you have cooperated with them? Would you have informed the occupying authorities about the activities of those who were resisting?

You probably think that this is a ridiculous and impertinent line of questioning. The answers are obviously "No!" But they were real in France in 1940. Why? Because the average Frenchman was totally unprepared for the events that overwhelmed his country. Before the armistice had even been signed, the German propaganda machine was telling the people of France that their greatest enemies were the British who had deserted them and the French generals who had escaped. It was very effective propaganda and there were many who believed it.

Now for another question, one which has to do, not with France in the forties, but with America in the sixties. If there were another war, and if for any reason America was defeated and occupied—whether with or without an eventual puppet government of so-called "Americans," would you feel that you owed allegiance to that occupying force? Would you feel that it was morally wrong to resist it? Would you inform against another American because he shot a Commissar, or because he joined a band of resistance fighters?

Do you think these are ridiculous questions? I think that if you will look at the maps of Europe, of Asia, or Africa, and even of the Americans, you will find many nations where these questions could have been asked a few years ago. Today, they are dangerous questions to ask in these countries.

If the people of France in the thirties, or the people of Cuba of ten years ago had asked themselves these questions, and answered them honestly, their resistance would have been increased a thousandfold. The trouble is that after the blow has been struck, no one knows whom he can trust. No one knows where to start in building up a resistance.

We do not believe the United States will ever be defeated or occupied, but experience and history are hard masters, and no one can say that this is beyond the realm of possibility. Ten years ago there wouldn't have been one person in the country who would have believed that we could stoop to paying tribute—"Millions for defense but not one cent for tribute" was one of our oldest national slogans, and yet today we are paying it. Openly to Cuba, but in a disguised

version to more than 80 other foreign countries. Therefore, we must admit that as impossible as it seems, military defeat and occupation are within the realms of possibility. This is a black picture to paint, but it would not have to be hopeless, for though an occupying power might find the offices and avenues of Washington soft meat to digest, they would soon find a hard core of steel in the rest of the country. The people of America, not the scum that bubble noisily on the surface, still have the same blood and heritage of our ancestors who fought at Bunker Hill and Lexington, the men who won the frontier when it was a frontier and not a political play-boy's campaign slogan, the soldiers of Bull Run, Vicksburg, Gettysburg and a dozen other hard fought battles of the Civil War; and on into the two World Wars of our own century. That breed isn't lost in a few years, and in the end all of the effete political theorists that Harvard could turn out in a thousand years couldn't deny that it is there. But even the strongest men sometimes sleep, and if too many of us sleep too much, we may wake up to find ourselves sold out, our armies defeated and our country occupied.

Perhaps you are wondering what you can do about something that may never happen for many years. There are two things we Americans can do. First, we can stay awake and watch what is happening in Washington. We can make our republic a living government and not just a machine that we go along with because it offers to do our thinking and our work for us, and because it gives us utopian dreams in exchange for our votes. The second thing we can do is to stand up and be counted as to whether or not we will ever take defeat—whether or not we would fight to regain our country even in the awful event that our armies were betrayed and defeated, or our independence sold out in some future international summit conference. If enough of us declare these intentions now, before it has happened, those who would betray us may be deterred from trying. But the world must KNOW where America stands!

As a typical American, ask yourself the following soul-stirring questions:

If America were ever taken over by force, from within or without, as it would be if the United States were occupied by a foreign army or by a subversive internal power, would you:

1. Consider it your duty to fight back, and give every possible aid to such forces as were fighting to restore our Constitutional Government?
2. Take the attitude that the new regime, if seemingly accepted by everyone else, should be accepted by you?
3. Probably do nothing?

Discuss the question with several of your friends and neighbors, poll them as to their individual reactions and then fill out and mail the coupon below. We do not ask—or need—any names, all we want is an indication of how many answered "Yes" to (1), (2), or (3). The replies will be tabulated as to the percentages of the total.

We believe the final figure will be conclusive evidence that the Spirit of 1776, is still predominant in AMERICA!

TASK FORCE,
P. O. Box 1551,
Ormond Beach, Fla.

I have discussed the question of RESISTANCE with others and the total vote resulted as follows:

Answer No. 1 --- votes
Answer No. 2 --- votes
Answer No. 3 --- votes

(Please cut out above and mail to TASK FORCE—mention Common Sense).

READ AND PASS ON

Red Fronters in the Pentagon

General George C. Marshall, Secretary of Defense, was honored as the speaker and was the only non-Jew at a dinner given in New York City, November 1950. The next morning papers announced the appointment of Anna Rosenberg as Asst. Sec'y. of Defense in charge of manpower. Marshall had distinguished himself as most influential in breaking down Chiang Kai-shek and pushing China under communism. Anna Rosenberg had been identified as a communist by Ralph deSola while under oath.

No doubt many of the thousands placed in the defense department (Anna Rosenberg directed the hiring of over a million in 115 departments of government) by her are still there. The reader can guess who they are.

When Senator Joe McCarthy tried to put the spotlight on them and learn who promoted the communist Peress, he was attacked and destroyed.

Persons in the White House and Defense Department are doing all possible now to prevent an investigation that would uncover the reds responsible for preventing Army officers from telling our soldiers why and who they are called upon to fight. We name two of the persons responsible for the pro-communist order:

Adam Yarmolinsky, Ass't. Sec'y. of Defense in charge of all personnel, who was editor of YARDLING, a communist-aiding publication at Harvard, and who supervised the hiring of 75 Jews to TOP offices in the New Frontier. Working with Yarmolinsky as a team is Arthur Sylvester, Ass't. Sec'y. of Defense in charge of Public Relations.

BOTH SHOULD BE FIRED!

American Legion Resolution

Whereas, United Artists, a major film distributor, has contracted to release a film called "EXODUS", a film being script written by DALTON TRUMBO; and

WHEREAS, the duly elected Representatives of the people, under public law, formed the "House Committee on un-American Activities"; and

WHEREAS, DALTON TRUMBO, upon being subpoenaed before this legally constituted body, refused to testify regarding his identification with COMMUNISM; and

WHEREAS, DALTON TRUMBO was cited for contempt of Congress; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, by the AMERICAN LEGION in National Convention assembled in Miami, Florida, October 17-20, 1960, that the best interests of the United States of America can be best served by the National Headquarters of THE AMERICAN LEGION dissemination of this information to all local posts throughout the United States, and, if the management of any theater books the film "EXODUS" the members shall organize to use all legal and proper means to prevent the showing and ask ALL GOOD AMERICANS TO REFUSE TO PATRONIZE theater showing the film "EXODUS."

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00
100 Copies — 3.00
500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn., 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office

Communism is Treason!

PATRIOT—AUTHOR—EDUCATOR



Col. John Beaty, died Sept. 9, 1961 at his home in Barboursville, Va., age 70.

This is sad news to thousands of his friends and patriots.

He spent five years with the War Dept., General Staff, and headed several important Sections in Military Intelligence Service. Dr. Beaty was Chairman of the English Department of the Southern Methodist University from 1927 to 1940 and was honorary Chairman until he retired in 1957.

Colonel Beaty wrote two books on Poetry and Drama and two novels. His book "Iron Curtain over America" was received as the greatest help in understanding the subversive move in the U. S., it drew fire from the left wing, liberals, socialists and communists. There has been a tremendous demand for this book. Educators and important armed service officers have strongly recommended it.

Dr. Beaty received bachelors and masters degrees from University of Virginia and was a member of American Academy of Political Science.

Some called him Doctor; to others he was known as Professor. To us he was Colonel Beaty or John. We have known Colonel Beaty intimately for many years, always the same—true, accurate, honest and fearless. It will take more than one man to fill the vacuum he left.

He has done much good for the country he loved and the good influence of "The Iron Curtain over America" will remain for years as a help in saving the U. S. from communism. Where are the young, courageous, intelligent men to come forward and help fill his shoes?

FRANKFURTER'S

RED RECORD



FELIX FRANKFURTER

50¢ ea. — 12 for \$5.00 — Postpaid
Order from—

Christian Educational Assn.
Union, New Jersey



Marvin Brooks Norfleet, a practicing Memphis attorney, writes authoritatively, courageously and lucidly of the social and moral evils which he shows will certainly result from the unthinking implementation of the integration of white and negro children as students in our public schools.

A lifelong Southerner, Mr. Norfleet speaks from many years of geographical association and familiarity with the Negro character, the legal and sociological aspects of race relations, and a thorough knowledge of the spiritual precedents on which integrationists base their demands.

This is a book which should be read by every parent, politician and sociological leader.

Order from— Price \$3.50
Christian Educational Assn.
Union, New Jersey

FACTS ABOUT FLUORIDATION

Help defeat FLUORIDATION in your community. Vital information available to those who send a stamped, self-addressed envelope to—

Box 7021,
North End Station,
Detroit 2, Michigan

There are several reasons this book was not written years ago.

Few were in position to know his full record and his power in shaping the administration in Washington for 30 years.

Still fewer researchers dare expose this mysterious man who ruled with an iron fist behind the Invisible Government.

Frankfurter is regarded as one of the top masterminds who has maneuvered our country into its present frightening position. Like his Uncle, Louis D. Brandeis who forced Woodrow Wilson to place him on the Supreme Court, Frankfurter did the same with F.D.R.

This book unfolds the activity of a Jew immigrant devoting his lifetime to directing our country down the road to Marxism and World Government.

Informed patriots use our literature and books in their efforts to debunk communism. They regard this material as more effective than pussyfooting milk-toast material.

Many patriots will want to purchase a quantity and distribute in an effort to arouse people to the marxist plot. This book could have easily sold for \$1.00 but in order to encourage wide circulation 50¢ is the price.